



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/753,874 | 01/09/2004 | Hans Joachim Halamoda | 7863-80940 | 6117 |

42798 7590 05/03/2005

FITCH, EVEN, TABIN & FLANNERY
P. O. BOX 65973
WASHINGTON, DC 20035

EXAMINER

NGUYEN, PHONG H

| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|----------|--------------|
|----------|--------------|

3724

DATE MAILED: 05/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/753,874 | HALAMODA ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Phong H Nguyen | 3724 | |

All Participants:

(1) Mr. Phong H Nguyen.

(2) Mr. Norman Kunitz (via voice mail).

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 28 April 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

N/A

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:


Informed Mr. Kunitz the amendment filed on 04/14/2005 has been received and is under consideration.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



Allan N. Shoap
 Supervisory Patent Examiner
 Group 3700


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)